

# In the United States Court of Federal Claims

No. 18-281C  
(Filed: April 24, 2018)

\*\*\*\*\*  
 OWL CREEK ASIA I, L.P. et al., \*  
 \*  
 Plaintiffs, \*  
 \*  
 v. \*  
 \*  
 THE UNITED STATES, \*  
 \*  
 Defendant. \*  
 \*\*\*\*\*

## ORDER

Plaintiffs filed an unopposed motion<sup>1</sup> asking the court coordinate this case with Fairholme Funds, Inc. v. United States, No. 13-465C; Arrowood Indemnity Co. et al. v. United States, No. 13-698C; Cacciapalle et al. v. United States, Nos. 13-466C, 13-496C, 13-542C; Fisher et al. v. United States, Nos. 13-608C, 13-672C; and Washington Federal v. United States, 13-385C (collectively, the “Coordinated Actions”). Plaintiffs further ask that this case be governed by the February 21, 2018 scheduling orders (“Scheduling Order”), which set forth deadlines for briefing on motions to dismiss in the Coordinated Actions as well as in Reid v. United States, No. 14-152C, and Rafter v. United States, 14-740C.

The court **GRANTS** the motion. This case is coordinated with the Coordinated Actions for the purposes of discovery, motion practice, case management, case scheduling, and other pretrial proceedings as appropriate. Furthermore, the briefing schedule in this case will follow the Scheduling Order. Accordingly, defendant’s motion to dismiss must be filed **no later than Friday, June 29, 2018**; plaintiffs’ response must be filed **no later than Thursday, September 20, 2018**; and defendant’s reply must be filed **no later than Wednesday, December 19, 2018**.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

s/ Margaret M. Sweeney \_\_\_\_\_  
 MARGARET M. SWEENEY  
 Judge

---

<sup>1</sup> Plaintiffs stated that defendant’s consent to this motion was premised on plaintiffs’ agreement to not amend the complaint to incorporate material produced during jurisdictional discovery.