

In the United States Court of Federal Claims

No. 13-465C
(Filed: January 12, 2018)

 FAIRHOLME FUNDS, INC. et al., *
 *
 Plaintiffs, *
 *
 v. *
 *
 THE UNITED STATES, *
 *
 Defendant. *

ORDER

On January 11, 2018, the parties in the above-captioned case filed a joint status report (1) indicating that they had completed the quick peek discovery procedure previously authorized by the court, and (2) requesting that the court set a deadline of February 22, 2018, for the plaintiffs in this case and the related cases to file an amended complaint.¹ The parties' request is **GRANTED**. In accordance with its March 7, 2017 order, the court adopts the following schedule for further proceedings:

- Plaintiffs shall file their amended complaint **no later than Thursday, February 22, 2018**.
- Defendant shall file its omnibus motion to dismiss—seeking the dismissal of this case and all related cases—no later than 120 days after the expiration of the period for plaintiffs to file their amended complaint, i.e., **Friday, June 22, 2018**.
- Plaintiffs shall file their response to defendant's omnibus motion to dismiss no later than ninety days after the expiration of the period for defendant to file its motion, i.e., **Thursday, September 20, 2018**.

¹ The related cases are Washington Federal v. United States (No. 13-385C); Cacciapalle et al. v. United States (Nos. 13-466C, 13-496C, and 13-542C); Fisher et al. v. United States (Nos. 13-608C and 13-672C); Arrowood Indemnity Co. v. United States (No. 13-698C); Reid v. United States (No. 14-152C); and Rafter v. United States (No. 14-740C).

- Defendant shall file a reply in support of its omnibus motion to dismiss ninety days after the expiration of the period for plaintiffs to file their response, i.e., **Wednesday, December 19, 2018.**

The court will file a separate scheduling order in each of the related cases.

Finally, the court **DENIES WITHOUT PREJUDICE** defendant's supplemental motion to dismiss (docket #161), on which briefing was stayed pursuant to the court's September 4, 2015 order. Defendant may incorporate the arguments set forth in that motion in its omnibus motion to dismiss.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/ Margaret M. Sweeney
MARGARET M. SWEENEY
Judge