

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA

Case No.: 1:16-cv-21221

ANTHONY R. EDWARDS, *et al.*,

Plaintiffs,

v.

DELOITTE & TOUCHE, LLP,

Defendant.

**PLAINTIFFS' UNOPPOSED MOTION FOR ENLARGEMENT
OF TIME TO REPLY TO FEDERAL HOUSING FINANCE
AGENCY'S OPPOSITION TO MOTION TO REMAND**

Pursuant to Rule 6, Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Plaintiffs file this Unopposed Motion for Enlargement of Time to Reply to Federal Housing Finance Agency's ("FHFA") Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion to Remand (Doc. 40) and state as follows:

1. On August 15, 2016, Plaintiffs filed a Motion to Remand (the "Motion") (Doc. 23).
2. On August 22, 2016, the Court entered its Order (Doc. 27) setting the briefing schedule regarding the Motion. Pursuant to the Order, Defendant's response was filed on September 14, 2016 (Doc. 41), and Plaintiff's reply is due on or before October 12, 2016.
3. On September 14, 2016, FHFA also filed a Response in Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion to Remand (Doc. 40) (the "Opposition").
4. Plaintiffs' reply to the Opposition is currently due on September 21, 2016. Plaintiffs request an enlargement of time to file their reply to and including October 12, 2016, to

coincide with the deadline previously set by the Court for Plaintiffs to file their Reply to Defendant's Response in Opposition to the Motion to Remand.

5. This motion is made in good faith and not for the purpose of delay. Neither FHFA nor Defendant will be prejudiced by the requested extension.

6. Rule 6(b), Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, vests the Court with the discretion to enlarge for good cause. Based on the foregoing, cause exists to justify the exercise of this Court's discretion to grant this unopposed motion.

Rule 7.1(a)(3) Certificate

Pursuant to Rule 7.1(a)(3), counsel for Plaintiffs conferred with counsel for FHFA and counsel for Defendant, who do not oppose the relief requested in the motion.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs respectfully move this Court for an enlargement of time to and including October 12, 2016 to reply to FHFA's Response in Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion to Remand and for such further relief the Court deems just and proper.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Brad F. Barrios

Kenneth G. Turkel, Esq.

Florida Bar No. 867233

kturkel@bajocuva.com

Brad F. Barrios, Esq.

Florida Bar No. 0035293

bbarrios@bajocuva.com

BAJO | CUVA | COHEN | TURKEL

100 North Tampa Street, Suite 1900

Tampa, FL 33602

Phone: (813) 443-2199

Fax: (813) 443-2193

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

and

Steven W. Thomas, Esquire
Thomas, Alexander, Forrester & Sorensen LLP
14 27th Avenue
Venice, CA 90291
Telephone: 310-961-2536
Telecopier: 310-526-6852
Email: steventhomas@tafattorneys.com

Hector J. Lombana, Esquire
FLBN: 238813
Gamba & Lombana
2701 Ponce De Leon Boulevard
Mezzanine
Coral Gables, FL 33134
Telephone: 305-448-4010
Telecopier: 305-448-9891
Email: hlombana@glhlawyers.com

Gonzalo R. Dorta, Esquire
FLBN: 650269
Gonzalo R. Dorta, P.A.
334 Minorca Avenue
Coral Gables, FL 33134
Telephone: 305-441-2299
Telecopier: 305-441-8849
Email: grd@dortalaw.com

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that on September 16, 2016, the foregoing document was filed with the Court's CM/ECF system, which will send electronic notice to all counsel of record.

/s/ Brad F. Barrios

Attorney

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA**

Case No.: 1:16-cv-21221

ANTHONY R. EDWARDS, *et al.*,

Plaintiffs,

v.

DELOITTE & TOUCHE, LLP,

Defendant.

_____ /

**ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFFS' UNOPPOSED MOTION FOR
ENLARGEMENT OF TIME TO REPLY TO FEDERAL HOUSING
FINANCE AGENCY'S OPPOSITION TO MOTION TO REMAND**

Upon consideration of the Plaintiffs' Unopposed Motion for Enlargement of Time to Reply to Federal Housing Finance Agency's ("FHFA") Opposition to Motion to Remand, it is hereby ORDERED that the motion is GRANTED. Plaintiffs' reply to FHFA's Opposition is due on or before October 12, 2016.

Dated this _____ day of _____, 2016.

Robert N. Scola, Jr.
United States District Judge